

THE POINCARÉ SERIES OF THE JOINT INVARIANTS AND COVARIANTS OF THE TWO BINARY FORMS

L. BEDRATYUK

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2}$ be the algebras of joint invariants and joint covariants of the two binary forms of degrees d_1 and d_2 . Formulas for computation of the Poincaré series $\mathcal{PI}_{d_1, d_2}(z)$, $\mathcal{PC}_{d_1, d_2}(z)$ of the algebras is found. By using these formulas, we have computed the series for $d_1, d_2 \leq 20$.

1. Let V_{d_1}, V_{d_2} be the complex vector spaces of the binary forms of degrees d_1 and d_2 endowed with the natural action of the complex group SL_2 . Consider the induced action of the group on the algebras of the polynomial functions $\mathcal{O}(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})$ and $\mathcal{O}(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2)$. The algebras

$$\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2} := \mathcal{O}(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})^{SL_2} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2} := \mathcal{O}(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2)^{SL_2}$$

is called the algebra of joint invariants and the algebra of joint covariants for the binary forms. The reductivity of SL_2 implies that the algebras $\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2}, \mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2}$ are finite generated graded algebras

$$\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2} = (\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2})_0 + (\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2})_1 + \cdots + (\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2})_i + \cdots,$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2} = (\mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2})_0 + (\mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2})_1 + \cdots + (\mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2})_i + \cdots,$$

and the vector spaces $(\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2})_i, (\mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2})_i$ are the finite dimensional. The formal power series $\mathcal{PI}_{d_1, d_2}(z), \mathcal{CI}_{d_1, d_2}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[[z]]$,

$$\mathcal{PI}_{d_1, d_2}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim((\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2})_i) z^i, \mathcal{CI}_{d_1, d_2}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim((\mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2})_i) z^i,$$

is called the Poincaré series of the algebras of joint invariants and covariants. The finite generation of the algebra of covariants implies that its Poincaré series is an expansion of some rational function. We consider here the problem of computing efficiently this rational function.

The Poincaré series calculations were an important object of research in classical invariant theory of the 19th century. For the cases $d \leq 10$, $d = 12$ the Poincaré of the algebra of invariants of binary form of degree d were calculated by Sylvester and Franklin, see [1], [2]. Relatively recently, Springer [3] set the explicit formula for computing the Poincaré series of the algebras of invariants of the binary d -forms. This formula has been used by Brouwer and Cohen [4] for $d \leq 17$ and also by Littelmann and Procesi [5] for even $d \leq 36$. In [6] the Poincaré series of algebras of joint invariants and covariants of two and three binary form of small degrees is calculated.

In the paper we have found Sylvester-Cayley-type formulas for calculating of $(\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2})_i, (\mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2})_i$ and Springer-type formulas for calculation of $\mathcal{PI}_{d_1, d_2}(z), \mathcal{PC}_{d_1, d_2}(z)$. By using the derived formulas, the Poincaré series is calculated for $d_1, d_2 \leq 20$.

2. To begin with, we give a proof of the Sylvester-Cayley-type formula for joint invariants and covariants of two binary form. Let $V \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ be standard two-dimensional representation of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. The irreducible representation $V_d = \langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d \rangle$, $\dim V_d = d + 1$ of the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ is the symmetric d -power of the standard representation $V = V_1$, i.e. $V_d = S^d(V)$,

$V_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. The basis elements $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ of the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ act on V_d by the derivations D_1, D_2, E :

$$D_1(v_i) = i v_{i-1}, D_2(v_i) = (d - i) v_{i+1}, E(v_i) = (d - 2i) v_i.$$

Let us consider the two irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules V_{d_1} i V_{d_2} . Identity the algebras of polynomial functions $\mathcal{O}(V_{d_1})$, $\mathcal{O}(V_{d_2})$ with the symmetrical algebras $S(V_{d_1})$, $S(V_{d_2})$. The action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ is extended to action on the symmetrical algebra $S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})$. in the natural way. The algebra $\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2}$,

$$\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2} = S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})^{\mathfrak{sl}_2} = \{v \in S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2}) | D_1(v) = 0, D_2(v) = 0\},$$

is called the algebra of joint invariants of two binary forms of degrees d_1, d_2 .

Let $\mathfrak{u}_2$ be the maximal unipotent subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. The algebra $\mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2}$,

$$\mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2} := S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})^{\mathfrak{u}_2} = \{v \in S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2}) | D_1(v) = 0\},$$

is called the algebra of joint semi-invariants of the binary forms of the degrees d_1, d_2 . For any element $v \in \mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2}$ a natural number s is called the order of the element v if the number s is the smallest natural number such that

$$D_2^s(v) \neq 0, D_2^{s+1}(v) = 0.$$

It is clear that any semi-invariant of order i is the highest weight vector for an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module of the dimension $i + 1$ in $S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})$.

The application of the Grosshans principle, see [7], [8] gives

$$\mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2} := S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})^{\mathfrak{u}_2} \cong \mathcal{O}(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2)^{\mathfrak{sl}_2} = \mathcal{C}_{d_1, d_2}.$$

Thus, the algebra joint covariants is isomorphic to the algebra of joint semi-invariants. Therefore, it is enough to compute the Poincaré series of the algebra $\mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2}$.

The algebra $S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})$ is graded:

$$S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2}) = S^0(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2}) + S^1(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2}) + \cdots + S^n(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2}) + \cdots,$$

and each $S^n(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})$ is the complete reducibly representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

Thus, the following decomposition holds

$$S^n(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2}) \cong \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 0)V_0 + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 1)V_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; n \cdot \max(d_1, d_2))V_{n \cdot \max(d_1, d_2)}, \quad (1)$$

here $\gamma_n(d_1, d_2; k)$ is the multiplicity of the representation V_k in the decomposition of $S^n(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})$. On the other hand, the multiplicity $\gamma_n(d_1, d_2; i)$ of the representation V_i is equal to the number of linearly independent homogeneous joint semi-invariants of the degree n and the order i . In particular, the number of linearly independent joint invariants of degree n is equal to $\gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 0)$. This argument proves

Lemma 1.

- (i) $\dim(\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2})_n = \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 0)$,
- (ii) $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2})_n = \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 0) + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 1) + \cdots + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; n \max(d_1, d_2))$.

Let us recall some points of the representation theory of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

The set of weights (eigenvalues of the operator E) of a representation W denote by Λ_W , in particular, $\Lambda_{V_d} = \{-d, -d + 2, \dots, d\}$. The set of weights of a representation W denote by Λ_W , in particular, $\Lambda_{V_d} = \{-d, -d + 2, \dots, d\}$. It is clear that any joint semi-invariant $v \in \mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2}$

of degree i is the highest weight vector for an irreducible representation V_i in the symmetrical algebra $S(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})$. A formal sum

$$\text{Char}(W) = \sum_{k \in \Lambda_W} n_W(k) q^k,$$

is called the character of a representation W , here $n_W(k)$ denotes the multiplicity of the weight $k \in \Lambda_W$. Since, a multiplicity of any weight of the irreducible representation V_d is equal to 1, we have

$$\text{Char}(V_d) = q^{-d} + q^{-d+2} + \dots + q^d.$$

On the other hand, the character $\text{Char}(S^n(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2}))$ of the representation $S^n(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})$ equals

$$H_n(q^{-d_1}, q^{-d_1+2}, \dots, q^{d_1}, q^{-d_2}, q^{-d_2+2}, \dots, q^{d_2}),$$

see [9], where $H_n(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{d_1}, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{d_2})$ is the complete symmetrical function

$$H_n(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{d_1}, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{d_2}) = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n} x_0^{\alpha_0} x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_{d_1}^{\alpha_{d_1}} y_0^{\beta_0} y_1^{\beta_1} \dots y_{d_2}^{\beta_{d_2}}, |\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i.$$

By replacing $x_i = q^{d_1-2i}$, $i = 0, \dots, d_1$, $y_i = q^{d_2-2i}$, $i = 0, \dots, d_2$, we obtain the specialized expression for the character of $\text{Char}(S^n(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2}))$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Char}(S^n(V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2})) &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n} (q^{d_1})^{\alpha_0} (q^{d_1-2 \cdot 1})^{\alpha_1} \dots (q^{-d_1})^{\alpha_{d_1}} (q^{d_2})^{\beta_0} (q^{d_2-2 \cdot 1})^{\beta_1} \dots (q^{-d_2})^{\beta_{d_2}} = \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n} p^{d_1|\alpha|+d_2|\beta|+(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\dots+d_1\alpha_{d_1})+(\beta_1+2\beta_2+\dots+d_2\beta_{d_2})} = \sum_{i=0}^{n \max(d_1, d_2)} \omega_n(d_1, d_2; i) p^i, \end{aligned}$$

here $\omega_n(d_1, d_2; i)$ is the number nonnegative integer solutions of the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} d_1|\alpha| + d_2|\beta| - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + d_1\alpha_{d_1}) - (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + \dots + d_2\beta_{d_2}) = i \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| = n. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We can summarize what we have shown so far in

Theorem 1.

$$(i) \quad \dim(\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2})_n = \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 0) - \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 2),$$

$$(ii) \quad \dim(\mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2})_n = \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 0) + \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 1).$$

Proof. (i) The zero weight appears once in any representation V_k , for even k , therefore

$$\omega_n(d_1, d_2; 0) = \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 0) + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 2) + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 4) + \dots$$

The weight 2 appears once in any representation V_k , for odd k , therefore

$$\omega_n(d_1, d_2; 0) = \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 2) + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 4) + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 6) + \dots$$

Taking into account Lemma 1, obtain

$$\gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 0) = \dim(\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2})_n = \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 0) - \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 2).$$

(ii) The weight 1 appears once in any representation V_k , for even k , therefore

$$\omega_n(d_1, d_2; 1) = \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 1) + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 3) + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 5) + \dots$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 0) + \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 1) = \\
& = \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 0) + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 1) + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; 2) + \dots + \gamma_n(d_1, d_2; n \max(d_1, d_2)) = \\
& = \dim(S_{d_1, d_2})_n.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Simplify the system (2) to

$$\begin{cases} d_1 \alpha_0 + (d_1 - 2) \alpha_1 + (d_1 - 4) \alpha_2 + \dots + (-d_1) \alpha_{d_1} + \\ + d_2 \beta_0 + (d_2 - 2) \beta_1 + (d_2 - 4) \beta_2 + \dots + (-d_2) \beta_{d_2} = i \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{d_1} + \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{d_2} = n. \end{cases}$$

It well-known that the number $\omega_n(d_1, d_2; i)$ of non-negative integer solutions of the above system is equal to the coefficient of $t^n z^i$ of the expansion of the series

$$f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z) = \frac{1}{(1 - t z^{d_1})(1 - t z^{d_1-2}) \dots (1 - t z^{-d_1})(1 - t z^{d_2})(1 - t z^{d_2-2}) \dots (1 - t z^{-d_2})}.$$

Denote it in such a way: $\omega_n(d_1, d_2; i) := [t^n z^i](f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z))$. The following statement holds

Theorem 2.

$$(i) \quad \dim(I_{d_1, d_2})_n = [t^n](1 - z^2)f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z),$$

$$(ii) \quad \dim(\mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2})_n = [t^n](1 + z)f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z).$$

Proof. Taking into account the formal property $[x^{i-k}]f(x) = [x^i](x^k f(x))$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(I_{d_1, d_2})_n &= \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 0) - \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 2) = [t^n]f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z) - [t^n z]f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z) = \\
&= [t^n]f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z) - [t^n]z f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z) = [t^n](1 - z^2)f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z).
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(\mathcal{S}_{d_1, d_2})_n &= \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 0) + \omega_n(d_1, d_2; 1) = [t^n]f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z) - [t^n z]f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z) = \\
&= [t^n]f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z) + [t^n]z f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z) = [t^n](1 + z)f_{d_1, d_2}(t, z).
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Let us prove Springer-type formula for the Poincaré series $\mathcal{PI}_{d_1, d_2}(z)$, $\mathcal{PC}_{d_1, d_2}(z)$ of the algebras joint invariants and covariants of the two binary forms. Consider the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}[[t, z]]$ of formal power series. For arbitrary $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ define $\mathbb{C}$ -linear function

$$\Psi_{m, n} : \mathbb{C}[[t, z]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[z]],$$

$m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in the following way:

$$\Psi_{m, n} \left(\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} a_{i, j} t^i z^j \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{im, in} z^i.$$

Define by φ_n the restriction of $\Psi_{m, n}$ to $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$, namely

$$\varphi_n \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{in} z^i.$$

There is an effective algorithm of calculation for the function φ_n , see [11]. In some cases calculation of the functions Ψ can be reduced to calculation of the functions φ . The following statements hold:

Lemma 2. *For $R(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ and for $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:*

$$(i) \quad \Psi_{1,n} \left(\frac{R(z)}{1 - tz^k} \right) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{n-k}(R(z)), & n \geq k, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n, \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \quad \Psi_{1,n} \left(\frac{R(z)}{(1 - tz^k)^2} \right) = \begin{cases} (z \varphi_{n-k}(R(z)))', & n \geq k, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i) Let $R(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j z^j$. Then for $k < n$ we have

$$\Psi_{1,n} \left(\frac{R(z)}{1 - tz^k} \right) = \Psi_{1,n} \left(\sum_{j,s \geq 0} r_j z^j (tz^k)^s \right) = \Psi_{1,n} \left(\sum_{s \geq 0} r_{s(n-k)} (tz^n)^s \right) = \sum_{s \geq 0} r_{s(n-k)} z^s.$$

On other hand, $\varphi_{n-k}(R(z)) = \varphi_{n-k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j z^j \right) = \sum_{s \geq 0} r_{s(n-k)} z^s$.

(ii) Let $R(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j z^j$. Observe, that

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^2} = \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right)' = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + \dots$$

Then for $n > k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{1,n} \left(\frac{R(z)}{(1 - tz^k)^2} \right) &= \Psi_{1,n} \left(\sum_{j,s \geq 0} (s+1) r_j z^j (tz^k)^s \right) = \\ &= \Psi_{1,n} \left(\sum_{s \geq 0} (s+1) r_{s(n-k)} (tz^n)^s \right) = \sum_{s \geq 0} (s+1) r_{s(n-k)} z^s. \end{aligned}$$

On other hand,

$$(z \varphi_{n-k}(R(z)))' = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} r_{s(n-k)} z^{s+1} \right)' = \sum_{s \geq 0} (s+1) r_{s(n-k)} z^s.$$

□

The main idea of this calculations is that the Poincaré series $\mathcal{PI}_{d_1, d_2}(z)$, $\mathcal{PS}_{d_1, d_2}(z)$ can be expressed in terms of functions Ψ . The following simple but important statement holds

Lemma 3. *Let $d := \max(d_1, d_2)$. Then*

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{PI}_{d_1, d_2}(z) = \Psi_{1,d} \left((1 - z^2) f_{d_1, d_2}(tz^d, z) \right),$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{PS}_{d_1, d_2}(z) = \Psi_{1,d} \left((1 + z) f_{d_1, d_2}(tz^d, z) \right),$$

Proof. Theorem 2 implies that $\dim((\mathcal{I}_{d_1,d_1})_n) = [t^n](1 - z^2)f_{d_1,d_2}(t, z)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PI}_{d_1,d_2}(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim(I_{d_1,d_1})_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ([t^n](1 - z^2)f_{d_1,d_2}(t, z)) z^n = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ([t^n](1 - z^2)f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^d, z)) z^n = \Psi_{1,d}((1 - z^2)f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^d, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get the statement (ii).

We replace t with tz^d to avoid of a negative powers of z in the denominator of the function $f_{d_1,d_2}(t, z)$. $\square$

Write the function $f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)$ in the following way

$$f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z) = \frac{1}{(tz^{d_2-d_1}, z^2)_{d_1+1}(t, z^2)_{d_2+1}},$$

here $(a, q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1})$.

By using a representation of the function $\Psi_{1,d}$ via the contour integral, see [11], we get two new formulas for the Puanaré series:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PI}_{d_1,d_2}(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{1 - z^2}{(tz^{d_2-d_1}, z^2)_{d_1+1}(t, z^2)_{d_2+1}} \frac{dz}{z}, \\ \mathcal{PS}_{d_1,d_2}(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{1 + z}{(tz^{d_2-d_1}, z^2)_{d_1+1}(t, z^2)_{d_2+1}} \frac{dz}{z}, d_2 \geq d_1. \end{aligned}$$

Compare the formula with the Molien-Weyl integral formula for the Poincaré series of the algebra of invariants of binary form, see [10], p. 183.

Now we can present Springer-type formula for the Poincaré series $P_d(z)$ $\mathcal{PI}_{d_1,d_2}(z)$ i $\mathcal{PS}_{d_1,d_2}(z)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $d_2 - d_1 = 1 \pmod{2}$ and $d_2 > d_1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{PI}_{d_1,d_2}(z) = \sum_{d_1/2 \leq k \leq d_1} \varphi_{2k-d_1}((1 - z^2)A_k(z)) + \sum_{k=0}^{[d_2/2]} \varphi_{d_2-2k}((1 - z^2)B_k(z)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(z) &= \frac{(-1)^k z^{(d_1-k)(d_1-k+1)+(d_2+1)(d_1-2k)}}{(z^2, z^2)_k (z^2, z^2)_{d_1-k} (z^{(d_1+d_2)-2k}, z^2)_{d_2+1}}, \\ B_k(z) &= \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^k z^{k(k+1)}}{(z^{d_2-d_1-2k}, z^2)_{d_1+1} (z^2, z^2)_k (z^2, z^2)_{d_2-k}} & \text{for } 2k < d_2 - d_1, \\ \frac{(-1)^{\frac{d_2-d_1+1}{2}} z^{k(k+2)-1/2(d_2-d_1+1)}}{(z, z^2)_{s+1} (z, z^2)_{d_1-s} (z, z^2)_k (z^2, z^2)_{d_2-k}} & \text{for } s = \frac{2k - (d_2 - d_1) - 1}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If the integer number $d_1, d_2, d_2 > d_1$ have different parity then in the denominator of the function $f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)$ all factors appears in the degree 1. Then the rational function $f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)$ has the following partial fractions decomposition

$$f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{d_1} \frac{A_k(z)}{1 - tz^{d_2+d_1-2k}} + \sum_{k=0}^{d_2} \frac{B_k(z)}{1 - tz^{2k}}.$$

By direct calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_k(z) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow z^{2k-(d_1+d_2)}} (f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)(1 - tz^{d_2+d_1-2k})) = \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1 - z^{2k})(1 - z^{2k-2}) \dots (1 - z^2)(1 - z^{-2}) \dots (1 - z^{-2(d_1-k)})(z^{2k-(d_1+d_2)}, z^2)_{d_2+1}} = \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^{d_1-k} z^{2+4+\dots+2(d_1-k)} (-1)^{d_2+1} z^{(d_2+1)(d_1+d_2-2k)-2(1+2+\dots+d_2)}}{(z^2, z^2)_k (1 - z^2) \dots (1 - z^{2(d_1-k)})(z^{(d_1+d_2)-2k}, z^2)_{d_2+1}} = \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^k z^{(d_1-k)(d_1-k+1)+(d_2+1)(d_1-2k)}}{(z^2, z^2)_k (z^2, z^2)_{d_1-k} (z^{(d_1+d_2)-2k}, z^2)_{d_2+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_k(z) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow z^{-2k}} (f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)(1 - tz^{2k})) = \\
 &= \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^k z^{k(k+1)}}{(z^{d_2-d_1-2k}, z^2)_{d_1+1} (z^2, z^2)_k (z^2, z^2)_{d_2-k}} & \text{for } 2k < d_2 - d_1, \\ \frac{(-1)^{\frac{d_2-d_1+1}{2}} z^{k(k+2)-1/2(d_2-d_1+1)}}{(z, z^2)_{s+1} (z, z^2)_{d_1-s} (z, z^2)_k (z^2, z^2)_{d_2-k}} & \text{for } 2k = d_2 - d_1 + 2s + 1. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the above lemmas we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{PI}_{d_1,d_2}(z) &= \Psi_{1,d_2}((1 - z^2)f_d(tz_d^d, z)) = \Psi_{1,d_2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{d_1} \frac{(1 - z^2)A_k(z)}{1 - tz^{d_2+d_1-2k}} + \sum_{k=0}^{d_2} \frac{(1 - z^2)B_k(z)}{1 - tz^{2k}} \right) = \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{d_1} \Psi_{1,d_2} \left(\frac{(1 - z^2)A_k(z)}{1 - tz^{d_2+d_1-2k}} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{d_2} \Psi_{1,d_2} \left(\frac{(1 - z^2)B_k(z)}{1 - tz^{2k}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By substituting the expression of $A_k(z)$, $B_k(z)$ and, taking into account the Lemma 2, we get the statement of the theorem. $\square$

Let us consider the case $d_2 = d_1 \pmod{2}$ and $d_2 > d_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)^{-1} &= \\
 &= (1 - t) \dots (1 - tz^{d_2-d_1-2})(1 - tz^{d_2-d_1})^2 \dots (1 - tz^{d_2+d_1})^2 (1 - tz^{d_2+d_1+2}) \dots (1 - tz^{2d_2}) = \\
 &= (t, z^2)_{(d_2-d_1)/2} (tz^{d_2-d_1}, z^2)_{d_1+1}^2 (tz^{d_2+d_1+2}, z^2)_{(d_2-d_1)/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the partial fraction decomposition of the rational function $f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z) &= \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{d_1} \left(\frac{A_k(z)}{1 - tz^{d_2+d_1-2k}} + \frac{B_k(z)}{(1 - tz^{d_2+d_1-2k})^2} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{(d_2-d_1)/2-1} \frac{C_k(z)}{1 - tz^{2k}} + \sum_{k=(d_2+d_1)/2+1}^{d_2} \frac{C_k(z)}{1 - tz^{2k}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(z) &= -\frac{1}{z^{d_2+d_1-2k}} \lim_{t \rightarrow z^{2k-(d_1+d_2)}} (f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)(1-tz^{d_2+d_1-2k})^2)'_t, \\ B_k(z) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow z^{2k-(d_1+d_2)}} (f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)(1-tz^{d_2+d_1-2k})^2), \\ C_k(z) &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow z^{-2k}} (f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)(1-tz^{2k})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

Theorem 4. For $d_1 = d_2 \pmod{2}$ and $d_2 > d_1$ the Poincaré series $\mathcal{PI}_{d_1,d_2}(z)$ is calculated by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PI}_{d_1,d_2}(z) &= \Psi_{1,d_2}((1-z^2)f_{d_1,d_2}(tz^{d_2}, z)) = \\ &= \sum_{d_1/2 \leq k \leq d_1} \varphi_{2k-d_1}((1-z^2)A_k(z)) + \sum_{d_1/2 \leq k \leq d_1} (z \varphi_{2k-d_1}((1-z^2)B_k(z)))'_z + \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq 2k \leq d_2-d_1-2} \varphi_{d_2-2k}((1-z^2)C_k(z)) + \sum_{d_1+d_2+2 \leq 2k \leq 2d_2} \varphi_{d_2-2k}((1-z^2)C_k(z)). \end{aligned}$$

For the case $d_2 = d_1 = d$ we have

Theorem 5. Let $d_2 = d_1 = d$. Then

$$\mathcal{PI}_{d,d}(z) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq d/2} \varphi_{d-2k}((1-z^2)A_k(z)) + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq d/2} (z \varphi_{d-2k}((1-z^2)B_k(z)))'_z,$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(z) &= -\frac{1}{z^{2k}} \lim_{t \rightarrow z^{-2k}} (f_{d,d}(tz^d, z)(1-tz^{2k})^2)'_t, \\ B_k(z) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow z^{d-2k}} (f_{d,d}(tz^d, z)(1-tz^{2k})^2). \end{aligned}$$

By replacing the factor $1 - z^2$ with $1 + z$ in $\mathcal{PI}_{d_1,d_2}(z)$ we get the Poincaré series $\mathcal{PS}_{d_1,d_2}(z)$

5. For direct computations of the functions φ we use the following technical lemma, see [11]:

Lemma 4. Let $R(z)$ be some polynomial of z . Then

$$\varphi_n \left(\frac{R(z)}{(1-z^{k_1})(1-z^{k_2}) \dots (1-z^{k_m})} \right) = \frac{\varphi_n(R(z)Q_n(z^{k_1})Q_n(z^{k_2})Q_n(z^{k_m}))}{(1-z^{k_1})(1-z^{k_2}) \dots (1-z^{k_m})},$$

here $Q_n(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + \dots + z^{n-1}$, and k_i are natural numbers.

Example. Consider the case $d_1 = 1, d_2 = 3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PI}_{1,3}(z) &= \Psi_{1,3} \left(\frac{1-z^2}{(1-tz^2)^2(1-tz^4)^2(1-t)(1-tz^6)} \right) = \\ &= \Psi_{1,3} \left(\frac{(1-z^2)A_1(z)}{(1-tz^2)} \right) + \Psi_{1,3} \left(\frac{(1-z^2)B_1(z)}{(1-tz^2)^2} \right) + \Psi_{1,3} \left(\frac{(1-z^2)C_0(z)}{(1-t)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since, $3 = 1 \pmod{2}$, using Teorema 4. We have

$$A_1(z) = \frac{z^2(3z^4 + z^2 - 1)}{(1-z^4)^2(1-z^2)^3}, B_1(z) = \frac{z^2}{(1-z^2)^3(1-z^4)}, C_0(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^2(1-z^4)^2(1-z^6)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PI}_{1,3}(z) &= \\ &= \varphi_1 \left(\frac{z^2 (3z^4 + z^2 - 1)}{(1 - z^4)^2 (1 - z^2)^2} \right) + \left(z \varphi_1 \left(\frac{z^2}{(1 - z^2)^2 (1 - z^4)} \right) \right)' + \varphi_3 \left(\frac{1}{(1 - z^2) (1 - z^4)^2 (1 - z^6)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Lemma 4 and $\varphi_1(F(z)) = F(z)$, obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PI}_{1,3}(z) &= \\ &= \frac{z^2 (3z^4 + z^2 - 1)}{(1 - z^4)^2 (1 - z^2)^2} + \left(\frac{z^3}{(1 - z^2)^2 (1 - z^4)} \right)' + \frac{\varphi_3 \left(\left(\frac{1 - z^6}{1 - z^2} \right) \left(\frac{1 - z^{12}}{1 - z^4} \right)^2 \right)}{(1 - z^2)^2 (1 - z^4)^2} = \\ &= \frac{z^2 (3z^4 + z^2 - 1)}{(1 - z^4)^2 (1 - z^2)^2} - \frac{(5z^4 + 4z^2 + 3)z^2}{(1 - z^2)^4 (1 + z^2)^2} + \\ &+ \frac{\varphi_3 (z^{20} + z^{18} + 3z^{16} + 2z^{14} + 5z^{12} + 3z^{10} + 5z^8 + 2z^6 + 3z^4 + z^2 + 1)}{(1 - z^2)^2 (1 - z^4)^2} = \\ &= -\frac{z^2 (2z^4 + 3z^2 + 4)}{(1 - z^2)^3 (1 + z^2) (1 - z^4)} + \frac{z^6 + 5z^4 + 2z^2 + 1}{(1 - z^2)^2 (1 - z^4)^2} = \frac{z^4 - z^2 + 1}{(1 - z^2) (1 - z^4)^2} = \\ &= \frac{(1 + z^2)(z^4 - z^2 + 1)(1 + z^4)}{(1 + z^2) (1 - z^2) (1 - z^4)^2 (1 + z^4)} = \frac{z^{10} + z^6 + z^4 + 1}{(1 - z^4)^2 (1 - z^8)}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3 the Poncaré series of the algebras of joined invariants and covariants for the $d_1, d_2 \leq 20$ is found. Belos are results for the cases $d_1, d_2 \leq 5$. Note that $\mathcal{PC}_{d_1, d_2}(z) = \mathcal{PS}_{d_1, d_2}(z)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PI}_{1,1}(z) &= \frac{1}{1 - z^2}, \mathcal{PI}_{1,2}(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - z^2) (1 - z^3)}, \\ \mathcal{PI}_{1,3}(z) &= \frac{z^{10} + z^6 + z^4 + 1}{(1 - z^4)^2 (1 - z^8)}, \mathcal{PI}_{1,4}(z) = \frac{z^{13} + z^{11} + z^9 + z^4 + z^2 + 1}{(1 - z^3) (1 - z^5) (1 - z^6)^2}, \\ \mathcal{PI}_{1,5}(z) &= \frac{z^{26} + 2z^{20} + 6z^{18} + 3z^{16} + 7z^{14} + 7z^{12} + 3z^{10} + 6z^8 + 2z^6 + 1}{(1 - z^4)^2 (1 - z^6) (1 - z^8) (1 - z^{12})}, \\ \mathcal{PI}_{2,2}(z) &= \frac{1}{(1 - z^2)^3}, \mathcal{PI}_{2,3}(z) = \frac{z^9 + z^7 + z^2 + 1}{(1 - z^3) (1 - z^4)^2 (1 - z^5)}, \\ \mathcal{PI}_{2,4}(z) &= \frac{z^6 + 1}{(1 - z^2)^2 (1 - z^3)^2 (1 - z^4)}, \\ \mathcal{PI}_{2,5}(z) &= \frac{pi_{2,5}(z)}{(1 - z^3) (1 - z^4) (1 - z^5) (1 - z^6) (1 - z^7) (1 - z^8)}, \\ pi_{2,5}(z) &= z^{24} + z^{22} + z^{20} + z^{18} + 2z^{17} + 3z^{16} + 5z^{15} + 5z^{14} + 8z^{13} + 7z^{12} + 8z^{11} + \\ &+ 5z^{10} + 5z^9 + 3z^8 + 2z^7 + z^6 + z^4 + z^2 + 1, \\ \mathcal{PI}_{3,3}(z) &= \frac{z^8 - z^6 + 2z^4 - z^2 + 1}{(1 - z^2)^2 (1 - z^4)^3}, \\ \mathcal{PI}_{3,4}(z) &= \frac{pi_{3,4}}{(1 - z^4)^2 (1 - z^3) (1 - z^6) (1 - z^5) (1 - z^7)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$pi_{3,4}(z) = z^{20} + z^{18} + z^{15} + z^{14} + 3z^{13} + 4z^{12} + 6z^{11} + 6z^{10} + 6z^9 + 4z^8 + 3z^7 + z^6 + z^5 + z^2 + 1,$$

$$\mathcal{PI}_{3,5}(z) = \frac{pi_{3,5}}{(1-z^4)^2(1-z^6)^2(1-z^8)^3},$$

$$pi_{3,5}(z) = z^{34} + 4z^{30} + 5z^{28} + 22z^{26} + 34z^{24} + 65z^{22} + 77z^{20} + 94z^{18} + 94z^{16} + 77z^{14} + 65z^{12} + 34z^{10} + 22z^8 + 5z^6 + 4z^4 + 1,$$

$$\mathcal{PI}_{4,5}(z) = \frac{pi_{4,5}}{(1-z^3)(1-z^4)^2(1-z^5)(1-z^6)(1-z^7)(1-z^8)(1-z^9)},$$

$$pi_{4,5}(z) = z^{35} + z^{33} + 2z^{30} + 4z^{29} + 8z^{28} + 13z^{27} + 21z^{26} + 27z^{25} + 38z^{24} + 47z^{23} + 54z^{22} + 62z^{21} + 68z^{20} + 70z^{19} + 74z^{18} + 74z^{17} + 70z^{16} + 68z^{15} + 62z^{14} + 54z^{13} + 47z^{12} + 38z^{11} + 27z^{10} + 21z^9 + 13z^8 + 8z^7 + 4z^6 + 2z^5 + z^2 + 1,$$

$$\mathcal{PI}_{4,4}(z) = \frac{pi_{4,4}(z)}{(1-z^2)(1-z^3)^3(1-z^4)(1-z^6)(1-z^8)}$$

$$pi_{4,4}(z) = z^{19} + 2z^{17} + z^{16} + 3z^{15} + 2z^{14} + 4z^{13} + 3z^{12} + 4z^{11} + 4z^{10} + 4z^9 + 4z^8 + 3z^7 + 4z^6 + 2z^5 + 3z^4 + z^3 + 2z^2 + 1,$$

$$\mathcal{PI}_{5,5}(z) = \frac{pi_{5,5}(z)}{(1-z^2)(1-z^4)^2(1-z^6)^2(1-z^8)^3(1-z^{12})}$$

$$pi_{5,5}(z) = z^{46} + 4z^{42} + 5z^{40} + 44z^{38} + 74z^{36} + 188z^{34} + 259z^{32} + 452z^{30} + 575z^{28} + 723z^{26} + 773z^{24} + 773z^{22} + 723z^{20} + 575z^{18} + 452z^{16} + 259z^{14} + 188z^{12} + 74z^{10} + 44z^8 + 5z^6 + 4z^4 + 1,$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{1,1}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^2(1-z^2)}, \mathcal{PC}_{1,2}(z) = \frac{z^2+1}{(1-z)^2(1-z^2)(1-z^3)},$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{1,3}(z) = \frac{z^6+z^4+3z^3+z^2+1}{(1-z)^2(1-z^2)(1-z^4)^2}, \mathcal{PC}_{1,4}(z) = \frac{z^8+2z^6+2z^5+4z^4+2z^3+2z^2+1}{(1-z^5)(1-z)^2(1-z^3)^2(1-z^2)}$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{1,5}(z) = \frac{pc_{1,5}(z)}{(1-z)^2(1-z^4)^2(1-z^6)^2(1-z^8)},$$

$$pc_{1,5}(z) = z^{22} + 3z^{20} + 6z^{19} + 10z^{18} + 18z^{17} + 24z^{16} + 34z^{15} + 43z^{14} + 44z^{13} + 57z^{12} + 53z^{11} + 57z^{10} + 44z^9 + 43z^8 + 34z^7 + 24z^6 + 18z^5 + 10z^4 + 6z^3 + 3z^2 + 1,$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{2,2}(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{(1-z)^2(1-z^2)^3}, \mathcal{PC}_{2,3} := \frac{z^9+3z^7+3z^6+4z^5+4z^4+3z^3+3z^2+1}{(1-z)^2(1-z^2)(1-z^3)(1-z^4)(1-z^5)},$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{2,4}(z) = \frac{z^6+2z^4+4z^3+2z^2+1}{(1-z)^2(-z^2+1)^3(-z^3+1)^2},$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{2,5} = \frac{pc_{2,5}}{(1-z)^2(-z^3+1)(1-z^4)(1-z^5)(1-z^6)(1-z^7)(1-z^8)},$$

$$pc_{2,5}(z) = z^{26} + 5z^{24} + 8z^{23} + 19z^{22} + 31z^{21} + 52z^{20} + 76z^{19} + 104z^{18} + 135z^{17} + 162z^{16} + 188z^{15} + 200z^{14} + 209z^{13} + 200z^{12} + 188z^{11} + 162z^{10} + 135z^9 + 104z^8 + 76z^7 + 52z^6 + 31z^5 + 19z^4 + 8z^3 + 5z^2 + 1,$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{3,3} := \frac{z^{10}+3z^8+6z^7+6z^6+6z^5+6z^4+6z^3+3z^2+1}{(1-z)^2(1-z^2)^2(1-z^4)^3},$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{3,4} := \frac{pc_{3,4}}{(1-z)^2(1-z^3)(1-z^4)^2(1-z^5)(1-z^6)(1-z^7)},$$

$$pc_{3,4}(z) = z^{22} + 6z^{20} + 8z^{19} + 21z^{18} + 34z^{17} + 52z^{16} + 76z^{15} + 95z^{14} + 117z^{13} + 127z^{12} + 134z^{11} + 127z^{10} + 117z^9 + 95z^8 + 76z^7 + 52z^6 + 34z^5 + 21z^4 + 8z^3 + 6z^2 + 1$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{4,4} := \frac{pc_{4,4}}{(1-z)^2(1-z^2)(1-z^3)^2(1-z^4)^2(1-z^6)(1-z^8)},$$

$$pc_{4,4}(z) = z^{22} + 7z^{20} + 10z^{19} + 24z^{18} + 42z^{17} + 62z^{16} + 88z^{15} + 113z^{14} + 134z^{13} + 145z^{12} + 156z^{11} + 145z^{10} + 134z^9 + 113z^8 + 88z^7 + 62z^6 + 42z^5 + 24z^4 + 10z^3 + 7z^2 + 1$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{4,5} := \frac{pc_{4,5}}{(1-z)^2(1-z^3)(1-z^4)^2(1-z^5)(1-z^6)(1-z^7)(1-z^8)(1-z^9)},$$

$$pc_{4,5}(z) = z^{37} + 8z^{35} + 15z^{34} + 45z^{33} + 93z^{32} + 181z^{31} + 324z^{30} + 531z^{29} + 828z^{28} + 1202z^{27} + 1674z^{26} + 2206z^{25} + 2789z^{24} + 3377z^{23} + 3929z^{22} + 4392z^{21} + 4734z^{20} + 4909z^{19} + 4909z^{18} + 4734z^{17} + 4392z^{16} + 3929z^{15} + 3377z^{14} + 2789z^{13} + 2206z^{12} + 1674z^{11} + 1202z^{10} + 828z^9 + 531z^8 + 324z^7 + 181z^6 + 93z^5 + 45z^4 + 15z^3 + 8z^2 + 1$$

$$\mathcal{PC}_{5,5} := \frac{pc_{5,5}}{(1-z)^2(1-z^2)(1-z^4)^2(1-z^6)^3(1-z^8)^3},$$

$$pc_{5,5}(z) = z^{42} + 8z^{40} + 20z^{39} + 56z^{38} + 126z^{37} + 257z^{36} + 506z^{35} + 891z^{34} + 1438z^{33} + 2332z^{32} + 3380z^{31} + 4939z^{30} + 6488z^{29} + 8707z^{28} + 10720z^{27} + 13175z^{26} + 15010z^{25} + 17283z^{24} + 18414z^{23} + 19791z^{22} + 19578z^{21} + 19791z^{20} + 18414z^{19} + 17283z^{18} + 15010z^{17} + 13175z^{16} + 10720z^{15} + 8707z^{14} + 6488z^{13} + 4939z^{12} + 3380z^{11} + 2332z^{10} + 1438z^9 + 891z^8 + 506z^7 + 257z^6 + 126z^5 + 56z^4 + 20z^3 + 8z^2 + 1$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Sylvester, J. J., Franklin, F., Tables of the generating functions and groundforms for the binary quantic of the first ten orders, Am. J. II., 223–251, 1879
- [2] Sylvester, J. J. Tables of the generating functions and groundforms of the binary duodecimic, with some general remarks, and tables of the irreducible syzigies of certain quantics. Am. J. IV. 41-62, 1881.
- [3] Springer T.A., On the invariant theory of $SU(2)$, Indag. Math. 42 (1980), 339-345.
- [4] Brouwer A., Cohen A., The Poincare series of the polynomial invariants under SU_2 in its irreducible representation of degree ≤ 17 , preprint of the Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [5] Littellmann P., Procesi C., On the Poincaré series of the invariants of binary forms, J. Algebra 133, No.2, 490-499 (1990).
- [6] Drensky, V., Genov, G.K. Multiplicities of Schur functions with applications to invariant theory and PI-algebras.[J] C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. 57, No. 3, 5-10, 2004.
- [7] Grosshans F., Observable groups and Hilbert's fourteenth problem. Amer. J. Math. 95 (1973), 229-253.
- [8] Pommerening, K., Invariants of unipotent groups. - A survey. In Invariant theory, Symp. West Chester/Pa. 1985, Lect. Notes Math. 1278, 8-17, 1987.
- [9] W.Fulton, J. Harris, Representation theory: a first course, 1991.
- [10] Derksen H., Kemper G., Computational Invariant Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [11] L. Bedratyuk The Poincaré series of the covariants of binary forms. arXiv:0904.1325